

BID2323

| Bid Description | Date of tender | Closing date |
|---|-----------------|------------------------|
| REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS TO APPOINT A SERVICE PROVIDER FOR THE DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF A PV WITH STORAGE MINI-GRID ENERGY SECURITY INTERVENTION. | 09 January 2024 | 14 February 2024 At |
| | | 16:00 |
| Erratum 2: Kindly note the information below; | | |
| Closing date has been extended to the 14th of February 2024 at 16h00. Updated evaluation criteria below: | | |
| For more information on the bids, kindly contact Ms Vulani Ngoveni on enquiries.procurement@sanedi.org.za | | |
| Working hours: 08:00-17:00 Mondays to Fridays | | |



| | | ERRAIUM | NUTICE |
|--|--|---|-----------|
| Criteria | Evidence | Scoring Guidelines [with scores judged between 1 and 10 | Weighting |
| 1. Capacity, capability and experience of the company | Description of consulting company's capabilities must have relevant years in previous/current and applicable roles in projects/programs in the solar PV with battery storage energy security solution sector | 0 = less than 5 years of relevant experience 2 = 5 years of relevant experience as an energy engineering company with limited track record 4 = 10 or more years of relevant experience as an energy engineering company with a proven track record of working in projects/programs in the solar PV with battery storage energy security solution sector 6 = 15 more years of relevant experience as an energy engineering company with a proven track record of working in projects/programs in the solar PV with battery storage energy security solution sector 8 = 20 more years of relevant experience as an energy engineering company with a proven track record of working in projects/programs in the solar PV with battery storage energy security solution sector 8 = 20 more years of relevant experience as an energy engineering company with a proven track record of working in projects/programs in the solar PV with battery storage energy security solution sector 10 = 25 or more years of relevant experience as an energy engineering company with a proven track record of working in projects/programs in the solar PV with battery storage energy security solution sector | 10% |
| 2. Qualifications of engineer | <i>Curriculum vitae</i> of consulting project manager and system designer highlighting relevant post graduate degrees and technical expertise; is PV Greencard or ECSA accredited or similar and can provide demonstrable <u>professional</u> <u>experiences.</u> (Being a | 0 = Does not have demonstrable experience provided 4 = Little detail provided in demonstrable experience in projects/programs in the solar PV with battery storage energy security solution sector | 10% |



| | | ERRAIUIVI NOTICE | |
|--|--|---|-----------|
| Criteria | Evidence | Scoring Guidelines [with scores judged between 1 and 10 | Weighting |
| | member of the South African Solar Photovoltaic Industry Association would be advantageous) | 6 = Some detail provided in demonstrable experience in <u>projects/programs</u> in the solar PV with battery storage energy security solution sector | |
| | | 8 = Detailed and demonstrable experience in <u>projects/programs</u> in the solar PV with battery storage energy security solution sector | |
| | | 10 = Highly detailed and exceptionally demonstrable experience in <u>projects/programs</u> in the solar PV with battery storage energy security solution sector | |
| | | 0 = no reference letter provided | |
| | Reference letters from clients, | 2= 1 reference letter provided | |
| 3. References | the bidder has successfully worked with or implemented | 4= 2 reference letters provided | F0/ |
| Letters | relevant projects in the solar PV with battery storage energy security solution | 6 = 3 reference letters provided | 5% |
| | sector. | 8 = 4 reference letters provided | |
| | | 10 = more than 4 reference letters provided | |
| 4. Methodology outlining the understanding of | Detailed methodology and system design of the work to be undertaken, including detailed information around the approach and implementation of: system | 0 = no scope of work understanding or methodology provided methodology cost exceeds 20% of total project | |
| the required project scope including lead times | design plan, project timings, project hardware specifications, troubleshooting solutions and after sales service. Costing of system | 1 = basic outline of the "Scope of Work" with little to no detail, methodology cost 15-20% of total project | 20% |
| | design <i>MUST</i> be itemised in methodology submission | 5 = detailed methodology with general outlines of understanding | |



| | | EKKALUM | NOTICE |
|-----------------------------|---|--|-----------|
| Criteria | Evidence | Scoring Guidelines [with scores judged between 1 and 10 | Weighting |
| | | and deliverables required including lead times, but no specific technical design or project plan provided, methodology cost 10-15% of total project | |
| | | 10 = highly detailed methodology that outlines a very clear understanding of deliverables required including lead times and an estimated range of activities required to achieve deliverables and outputs for each work item, methodology cost 10% or less of total project. | |
| 5. Hardware requirements | System hardware specifications, all hardware <i>MUST</i> be quality approved (proof provided of SABS or similar): PV panels: • 500W or higher • 20-22% efficiency • Extreme environment tolerance and/or resilience viz. hail tested, hard water, acid rain, high temperatures Inverters: • Modualrised system for energy security • System self-protection features (e.g. Surge protection etc.) • Additional system integration guarantees (minimum 8 years) Batteries: | 0 = No guaranteed system hardware performance <i>OR</i> information not provided 2 = Minimal information with low specifications not meeting minimum requirements 6 = Information on system specifications provided only with manufacturers manuals, but meets technical requirements, no integration information provided 10 = Meets 90-100% of requirements, technical information is presented in an integrated manner offer full system performance overview and guarantees | 30% |
| | Guaranteed to a minimum of 10 000 cycles | | |



South African National Energy Development Institute

| Criteria | Evidence | Scoring Guidelines [with scores judged between 1 and 10 | Weighting |
|--|--|---|-----------|
| | Additional system integration guarantees (minimum 8 years) | | |
| | Itemised hardware component costing including specifications is compulsory (as per template provided) | | |
| Delivery of Hardware to storage facility provided on site in week of 25-29 March 2024 | Letter of pledge from Company CEO or equivalent pledging meeting of target deadline | 0 = no letter of pledge to meet timeframe provided 10 = letter of pledge, signed by head of company, to deliver hardware within the target timeline | 25% |
| Total | | | 100% |
| Technical Threshold | | | 80% |